

**N61340-15-R-0052, FTSS IV**

Solicitation Questions				
Question Number	Subject (title)	Question	Answer	Question Reference (SOW, L&M, Page #s, etc.)
1	Pricing CMS and Emergent Repairs with allotted hours in the PBW	The draft L-3 charts for Lot I have CLINS 1304 and 1307 for the CMS device and the emergent repair CLIN. The PBW requires us to give an hourly rate for these tasks with set hours for evaluation (60 and 120 per year). The same applies for other sites with emergent repairs, or a CMS device with allotted hours in the PBW. (See Beaufort and Oceana). The question is, since these CLINS have allotted hours in the PBW to be priced, should they be a part of the manning as shown in L-3 and associated L-14 charts?	The L-3 staffing chart and the L-14 chart submitted will be compared to ensure they are the same. The CLINs the Government has allotted hours for in the PBW will not be evaluated in the technical factor, and as such will not be included in the L-3 or L-14 chart for evaluation.	L-3, L-14, and PBW
2	Site Manager and ASM for COMS and CIS Sites	App AB 4.8.1 states One shall be from the maintenance personnel and the other from instruction personnel. App BA CIS states both SM and ASM shall be fully qualified CI. The PWS paragraph 4.8.2.1 states either, but refers the Offerors to the site specific appendices. Miramar App BB CIS states both will be a qualified CI, App AC COMS for Miramar does not specify SM and ASM requirements. Whidbey Island App AE 4.8.1 does not clearly outline if both SM and ASM are COMS. Whidbey Island CIS App BC 4.1 states both SM and ASM have to be fully qualified CI's. Will the government please clarify the SM and ASM requirements for each site that has COMS and CIS?	The intent is, for sites that include both COMS and CIS requirements, that the SM and ASM should represent both workforces. Appendix AB for NAS Lemoore is correct, and states "Contractor shall designate a SM and ASM. One shall be from the maintenance personnel and the other from the instruction personnel". All Appendices for sites with both COMS and CSI requirements, will be changed to match the Appendix AB language.	PWS, App AB, App BA, App BB, App AC, App BC and App AE.
3	CDRL History	Will the government be providing CDRL historical data for the F/A-18 COMS and CIS program?	The government distributed historical CDRL data for one year period of performance, on CD-ROM media, at the Pre-Proposal Conferences for both Lot 1 and Lot 2. Offerors not in attendance may request a copy.(robbie.haygood@navy.mil)	CPF and CIF CDRLS
4	Past Performance Contracts	Is there a limit on the number of contracts we can reference for all past performance documents associated with the RFP?	There is no limit to the number of Present/Past Performance Contract References that may be submitted. Relevancy evaluation criteria and other instructions listed in Sect. L of the RFP shall be followed.	Section L Executive Summary, Section L Past Performance
5	CE-2 Corporate Experience Summary	This is a two part question for CE-2 Corporate Experience Summary: (1) Section L states that the table "should summarize the Prime's or JV team members relevant corporate experience across all evaluation factors." Are the referenced evaluation factors the Evaluation Areas' factors in L.2.2.1-2.2.3 or the solicitation as a whole? (2) For completing the table, the gray areas represent the current solicitation efforts and should include the contractor's proposed data that represents the future work to be conducted. The unshaded fields should include the contractor's data showing the relevance of our referenced contracts. Please define "data" in this context. Will the Government please clarify what is required in the gray shaded areas?	(1) The table input should be germane to the Offeror's Corporate Experience in the L.2.2.1-2.2.3 evaluation areas. (2) In the grey shaded areas the Offeror should inform the government, if offering as a JV, what division of responsibilities the entity plans for the proposed effort, such that the government may evaluate each team member's Corporate Experience as it pertains to the proposed effort. For Prime contractor Offerors, the Offeror should note in the grey shaded areas the entity that will be performing the work. The government will not evaluate either the past performance or the corporate experience of subcontractors.	RFP, Section L.2.3, page 83
6	Past Performance	Section L.4, Past Performance, the second full paragraph states says for all contracts with a CPARS evaluation date more than 6 months past, the Offeror will issue a CPARS Update Questionnaire. Will the give please clarify the 6 months past requirement?	The RFP was changed to "For all active contracts identified that have a CPARS evaluation date more than 6-months prior to the RFP release date, the Offeror shall forward a copy of the CPARS Update Questionnaire to that contract's Procuring Contracting Officer (PCO), Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO), and Program Manager (PM); or commercial contractor equivalents. CPARS Update Questionnaires are not required for completed contracts for which there is a final CPAR."	RFP, Section L, Volume 4 - Past Performance, page 86
7	Corporate Experience	Section L.2.2.3, Overseas Performance (Lot 1 only) says this is for "evaluation purposes only". Will the Government please clarify this statement with respect to the evaluation of the proposal?	The government will evaluate each Lot 1 Offeror's Corporate Experience for relevant COMS/CIS work performed overseas. Relevancy criteria listed in Sect. L is germane. The RFP para 2.2.3 has been changed to be more specific.	RFP, Section L.2.2.3, page 83
8	L-3/4 Staffing Chart Attachments	Will the Government please clarify if the L-3 and L-4 Staffing Charts are included in the Technical volume page count?	The L-3 and L-4 Charts will not be included in the Technical Volume page count.	RFP, Section L, Volume 3, 3.1, page 84
9	CIS Flight Training Device Requirement	The CIS requirements specifically reference experience or past performance using complex flight training devices. For example, Section L, 2.2.2 CIS, states, "The scope of CIS instruction (military specific) on complex flight training devices" and Section L, 4.2 references Aircraft Flight Training in the CIS portion of the Table PP-1a and PP-1b requirements (2. CIS for both tables). In addition to flight training experience and PP, will the Government accept military instruction on other simulator devices that are not flight training TDs?	In order to meet the relevancy standard for "Very Relevant," essentially-the-same performance, i.e. Flight Training by personnel meeting Military-Specific (or other similar) annual Training Requirements, would be required. Other training or instruction will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis to determine whether a "Somewhat Relevant" ( i.e. judged to be "similar" performance) rating would be warranted.	RFP, Section L, Volume 2, paragraph 2.2.2 and Volume 4, paragraph 4.2.
10	Separate Table PP-1 per Section L, 4.3	Section L, paragraph 4.3, Evaluation, opening paragraph, states, "Offerors shall submit a separate Table PP-1 for each individual present/past performance contract reference and label them appropriately." Are these tables include in Section 4.3 or is this referencing the PP tables in Section 4.2? Will the Government lease clarify this requirement?	The "tables" in section 4.2 are screen grabs from Attachments L-7a and L-7b. Offerors shall submit separate Excel files, using the appropriate attachment format, for each contract reference.	RFP, Section L, Volume 4, Past Performance, Section 4.3, first paragraph, page 90
11	SF 294/ISR Submittals	Section L, 4.4 Small Business requires large business Offerors to provide copies of final/most recent SF294s/ISRs for the three most relevant government contracts in a Past Performance section of the proposal. Are these included in the Past Performance page count?	No	RFP, Section L, Volume 4, Past Performance, Section 4.4, page 93
12	Low Cost Training (LCT) Devices at NAS Oceana	1. The PBW under CLIN 1103, Task 20 only indicates one F-18 LCT device. Appendix COMS AA (Oceana) shows (2) F-18 LCT devices (SNs 1 & 2). The historical CDRL data only reports the support of one LCT device. Will the Government please clarify the number of LCT devices to be bid for NAS Oceana? 2. The historical CDRL data reports show the support of an 11H173 training device at Oceana but the FTSS IV Appendix COMS - AA for Oceana shows four 11H171, one 11H172, and two 11H174 - there is no 11H173 TD in the requirements. Will the Government please clarify if 11H173 device(s) are to be bid for NAS Oceana?	1. There is only one (1) LCT suite at NAS Oceana. The LCT has two cockpits and each of those cockpits has its own unique S/N (1 and 2). The LCT suite at NAS Lemoore also has two cockpits but both cockpits share the same S/N. 2. The 11H173 MTS will be upgraded into a 11H172 MTS at both NAS Oceana and NAS Lemoore during FY16.	Historical CDRL data, Appendix COMS - AA NAS Oceana (page 1).

**N61340-15-R-0052, FTSS IV**

13	Table CE-2 Corporate Experience Summary	Just want to clarify that the statement, " When completing the table, please note that the gray areas represent the <u>current solicitation efforts</u> and should include the Prime's or JV team members' proposed data that represents the future work to be conducted." Please verify that the referenced current solicitation efforts are the FTSS IV requirements applicable to the TO (F/A-18 or C-9B) requirements.	See the response to question #5.	Section L, 2.3 Corporate Experience Summary Table, page 83.
14	2H157 Discrepancy	The PBW and L-14, Beaufort site, calls out the 2H157 at SN 6 - Appendix AD has it as SN 5. Will the Government please clarify the 2H157 serial number?	Appendix AD has been revised to reflect S/N-6.	PBW, L-14, and Appendix AD, MCAS Beaufort, page 1.
15	Annex B	Section L, 5.1.b states, "All pricing information related to the Small Business Participation Plan and Subcontracting Plans shall be included in Annex A." Would the Government please clarify if this should be Annex B, "Small Business Subcontracting Plan", referenced in Table G-1 Proposal Structure?	Annex B is correct, RFP Table G-1 and L.5.1.b have been corrected.	Section L, 5.1.b, page 94
16	Supply Support	Section 4.5 of the COMS PWS states, "The Government will provide a preliminary MSP list as part of this Task Order Solicitation." In order to properly estimate the required supply support for each device, would the Government please provide a complete spares list, spares prices and spares usage data?	A complete preliminary Material Support Package (MSP) list was provided, via CD-ROM, at the Pre-Solicitation Conference. Spare usage data was made available to Offerors, in the form of Corrective Maintenance Action Logs, during the Site Visits. Pricing for Spares is source dependent and proprietary to the incumbent contractor, and therefore will not be released. Please refer to PWS, Addendum A, paragraph 4.3 for clarification. Attendees not in attendance can request a copy (robbie.haygood@navy.mil).	Draft F-18 Addendum A_COMS REV 2.docx, Section 4.3, Material Support Package (MSP)
17	Device Availability	To provide the most cost effective manpower levels and manage risk, would the Government provide Inherent Availability (Ai) & Operational Availability (Ao) data for all devices?	Utilization Reports provided at the Pre-solicitation Conference contain data to determine Operational Availability for one year. Corrective Maintenance data, made available to Offerors during site visits, would allow Offerors to develop the Inherent Availability. The Government does not require contractors to report Inherent Availability.	n/a
18	Site Visit Presentations	Would the Government provide site maps, floor plans and device locations presented during the site visits?	Floor plans have already been provided in the PWSs. Please refer to the Site Specific Appendices.	n/a
19	Maintenance Documentation	Would the Government please clarify what format the maintenance documentation will be provided (electronic or paper copy)?	As identified in PWS Paragraph 4.3.3: " The Government intends to provide portions of the TDSP on magnetic and/or optical media". This will occur at Contractor Support Date.	n/a
20	Mission Support Package	Section 4.3 of the COMS PWS states, "The Government does not warrant the condition of the MSP supplied in the Task Order." Would the Government clarify if the conditions of the device downtime and partial mission capable time due to a lack or deficiency of a required spare or publication in government provided packages is adequate grounds for downtime (or partial mission capable time) relief since neither support package is "warranted" by the government?	The PWS stands as provided. The Contractor, is responsible for identifying and procuring any additional spare parts not provided in the MSP. These parts will remain the Contractor's property until such time that they replace failed government equipment. At that time, the failed parts become the Contractor's property.	Draft F-18 Addendum A_COMS REV 2.docx, Section 4.3, Material Support Package (MSP)
21	Obsolescence Management	Would the Government please clarify the Contractor's responsibilities for obsolescence management and especially whether obsolescence issues are adequate grounds for downtime (or partial mission capable time) relief.	Provided all "O"-level, "I"-level and "D"-level level repair avenues have been exhausted, and the Contractor can provide proof that there are no "form/fit/function" parts available (OEM, 3rd party sources, etc.), trainer down time will not be assessed, however, the Contractor will be responsible for developing the Technical Equipment Change Request to initiate the Engineering Change process. These actions are identified in PWS Addendum A; paragraphs 4.2.2.3 and 4.4.1.	n/a
22	Device Networking	Is the maintenance of the device networking mechanism within the scope of the contract?	For in-house connections (multi ship training), the contractor is required to maintain all equipment. For Fleet Synthetic Training events, the Contractor is responsible for maintenance of hardware up to the firewall. Information Assurance requirements for ALL local training equipment are the Contractor's responsibility, in accordance with PWS Addendum A; paragraph 4.2.2.7.	n/a
23	Engineering Support	Would the Government clarify how technicians would gain access to engineering support needed during operations and maintenance, if required? In the absence of adequate engineering support grounds for downtime and partial mission capable time relief?	Engineering (trainer modifications other than minor modifications) is not within scope of this Task Order, however, if engineering support is required to assist in repairing a failed trainer, the COMS contractor would bear the cost of obtaining/retaining this support. Any resultant downtime would be chargeable unless determined by the Government, that the cause was directly attributable to Government actions/inactions.	n/a
24	Historical CTT Utilization	Would the Government please provide historical CTT utilization rates for all devices?	This data was provided, via CD-ROM distribution, at the Pre-Solicitation Conference. Offerors not in attendance can request a copy (robbie.haygood@navy.mil).	n/a
25	Site Operation Schedules	Would the Government please provide a sampling of copies of site operations schedules and training syllabi for each lesson used at each device/site?	Contracted Training Time (CTT) can be interpreted as equivalent to Site operations schedules. Operational Availability can be derived from the technical data provided to Offerors at the Pre-solicitation Conference. Offerors not in attendance can request a copy (robbie.haygood@navy.mil).	n/a

**N61340-15-R-0052, FTSS IV**

26	Cross Reference Matrix	Section L.2.1.6 states that a Cross Reference Matrix (CRM) is required. The table provided in L.2.1.6 implies that the CRM is to be provided in Volume 2, Corporate Experience. This seems inconsistent with the intent of the CRM. Would the Government please clarify if the CRM is to be provided in only Volume 2, Corporate Experience, or if it is to be provided as an appendix to all proposal volumes?	A CRM is not required in any volume. It is intended to be helpful to the Offeror. If the Offeror decides to submit a CRM then they should submit the appropriate CRM with the appropriate volume.	Section L.2.1.6, page 71
27	Cross Reference Matrix	Would the Government clarify if the Cross Reference Matrix referenced on page 71 of Section L.2.1.6 and page 74 of Section L.3.0, is excluded from page count?	The government only provides a "Suggested" page count that does not limit the contractor to a specific page limit.	Section L.2.1.6, page 71 and 74
28	CMS Maintenance	In regard to Addendum A_COMS REV2 4.2.2.1.2 CMS Maintenance – Will the government verify that requirements for daily initial start-up found in 4.2.1.8 Contractor Training Device Operations Responsibilities do not apply to CMS devices?	With regard to CMS support, the Contractor is responsible for all maintenance actions, including daily start-up, if it is part of the Maintenance Requirement Cards (typically YES).	Addendum A_COMS REV2 4.2.2.1.2 CMS Maintenance
29	Historical Failure Data	To provide the most cost effective manpower levels and manage risk, would the Government please provide historical failure data for CMS devices?	Maintenance data was provided, via CD-ROM distribution, at the Pre-Solicitation Conference. Additionally, one years corrective maintenance data was made available for Offerors to review at each training site. Offerors not in attendance can request a copy of the CD provided (robbie.haygood@navy.mil).	Addendum A_COMS REV2 4.2.2.1.2 CMS Maintenance
30	Continuously Staffed Device Operations	Will the government verify that this section defines a requirement for a singularly dedicated maintenance technician to monitor and respond to device issues during all operational periods?	No, This requirement does not state that a maintenance technician will perform these functions. As a performance based PWS, the government cannot dictate the Contractor's staffing.	Addendum A_COMS REV2 4.2.1.8.1 Continuously Staffed Device Operations
31	Manpower Reporting	To provide the most cost effective manpower levels and manage risk, would the Government please provide or provide access to data relevant to this task order?	Maintenance data was provided, via CD-ROM distribution, at the Pre-Solicitation Conference. Additionally, one years corrective maintenance data was made available for Offerors to review at each training site. Offerors not in attendance can request a copy of the CD provided (robbie.haygood@navy.mil).	Addendum A_COMS REV2 4.6.3 Enterprise-wide Contractor Manpower Reporting
32	CMF Calculation	Will the government verify that each CMS CLIN constitutes a Maintenance Equipment Group (MEG) for purposes of CMF calculation?	Provided your definition of Maintenance Equipment Group translates to a Training Device, then Yes.	Addendum A_COMS REV2 4.2.2.1.2 CMS Contractor Measurement Factor (CMF), UNR-5 F-18 Price Breakout Worksheet
33		Will Lot 2 offerors without CIS Corporate Experience and Past Performance be excluded from consideration for award?	No. The government will assess the entirety of the information submitted by Lot 2 Offerors, during the evaluation of Corporate Experience and Past Performance and reminds Offerors that COMS is the Emphasis Area for this solicitation.	
34	Curriculum Support	Curriculum support requirements under CIS: What is the scope of the courseware effort (number of courses, individual course lengths, total course hours, historic annual percent change)?	The scope of the CIS courseware support requirements is limited. The tasks only include writing CRs (change requests) on any material they use during events per the Master Syllabus. Additionally, any of this support would be included in the stepladder hours.	Attachment BC1, RFP Basic FTSS IV Scope, 1.3
35	Tactical Coordinator	Is the "Tactical Coordinator" listed in the wage table of the Oceana CBA a direct correlation to the "Tactical Control Operator" referenced elsewhere in the RFP and site appendices?	"Tactical Coordinator" in the Oceana CBA correlates to the PWS Tactical Control Operator.	Oceana CBA, Article XXII
36	Tactical Control Operator	The requirement for providing TCO's at each site needs clarification. We understand that there is no TCO requirement at NAS Whidbey Island, but there are TCO requirements in the site appendices for each of the other 4 sites. (Assuming the answer to #1 above is "yes" then the only CBA that includes specific rates for the TCO is Oceana, and those rates are different than the Operator rates.) The other CBA's do not include rates for the TCO. (a) At Oceana, Lemoore, Beaufort, and Miramar, would the Government provide the average number of missions per month that require a TCO? (b) For the sites requiring TCO's, what is the labor rate to be used for pricing?	(a) Average missions per month requiring a TCO: Lemoore- 10 Oceana- 10 Miramar- 120-150 Beaufort- 113 (b) The Government is prevented from participation in Collective Bargaining between the contractor and the bargaining unit. What is contained in these CBAs is derived through the bargaining process. Each Offeror would then need to develop their staffing based upon their own interpretation of the data provided, and the Government cannot assign labor rates. "Tactical Coordinator" in the Oceana CBA correlates to the PWS Tactical Control Operator.	App COMS-AA, pg 17 & 20; Section 4.8.1 & 4.8.3(g) App COMS-AB, pg 16 & 19; Section 4.8.2 & 4.8.4(g) App COMS-AC, pg 13 & 16; Section 4.8.1 & 4.8.3(h) App COMS-AD, pg 12 & 15; Section 4.8.1 & 4.8.3(h) Collective Bargaining Agreements
37	ATO certified devices	(a) Would the Government provide a list of ATO-certified devices at each site? (b) Of those devices, which ATOs will be current at CSD?	(a) Authority To Operate (ATO) is an obsolete term. This has been replaced by "Platform Information Technology (PIT) Risk Accreditation (PRA). Currently, only the C & D trainers have a PRA. The PWS will be revised to include the accreditation status for each device. (b) This status will be included in a future revision to the PWS.	Add A.4.2.2.7, pg 13 and Site Appendices
38	Collective Bargaining Agreements, use of rates	All of the current CBA's expire prior to CSD at their respective sites except for the CIS CBA at Lemoore. The CBA's expire in FY 2015, FY 2016, or FY 2017. Will the Government indicate which rates to use: (1) the ones reflected in the final year of the CBA, or (2) the ones applicable to a single FY regardless of site.	RFP changed to baseline all labor rates to FY15.	Section L, Part B, 5.0 - Price
39	CNATT Hydrostatic Testing	For the CNATT devices, the Hydraulic Power Unit (HPU) was defined as a facility item. Will the Government define the applicable line of demarcation for contractor responsibility?	As identified at the site visits, the line of demarcation for Facility maintained hydraulic systems is the fixed connection, closest to the HPU, in the room where the training device is located. Appropriate device appendices will be changed to specify the "line of demarcation."	Site Visit discussion

**N61340-15-R-0052, FTSS IV**

40	Motion systems and Complex devices	For Volume 2 and 4, we are instructed to compare and describe the relevancy regarding maintenance of "complex" devices. IAW Section 2.2.1.a, a complex system includes a 6 DOF motion system, but none of the F/A-18 devices being supported on this Task Order include a similar motion system. Please clarify.	2.2.1.a defines a "complex" training device as being "similar" to a FAA Level D FFS. While not Level D FFS's, F/A-18 TOFTs have "similar interconnected systems and subsystems," i.e. Host computer, collimated visual system, IOS, replay capability, power system and motion seat cueing in place of a 6 DOF motion system.	Section L, Part B, 2.2.1 COMS, pg 82 & 4.3 Evaluation, pg 91
41	Key Personnel	According to Addendum A, the Key Personnel are the Site Managers and Alternates, Shift Supervisors, and IA Technicians. The site appendices define Key Personnel as Site and Alternate Site Managers, COMS Leads, certified IA Technical personnel, Operator III personnel, and Technician III personnel. Please clarify.	Addendum A includes the more generic requirements for the Task Order. The Site specific appendices provide amplification to Addendum A. Therefore, requirements for Key Personnel contained within the Site Specific Appendices augment those of Addendum A.	Add A 4.8.1, pg 22 Section 3.2.1 of App AA-AE
42	Attachment L-14 COMS-CIS Staffing Price Chart Lot 1	Please clarify the information to be entered in the RFP Attachment L-14 COMS-CIS Staffing Price Chart Lot 1. 1. Labor Rate: is the rate supposed to be the direct labor rate (i.e., does not include any burdens such as fringe, overhead, G&A, profit, etc.)? 2. Total H/W Cost: the formula in the Government's spreadsheet multiplies the H/W rate by the Total direct hours (work-year hours). However, most of the CBAs in the RFP have an H/W benefit based on "all hours paid" (2080 hours). Therefore, the H/W total cost is understated with the current L-14 formula. 3. Is it a correct assumption that the H/W rate is to be unburdened cost?	1. The labor rate should be just the direct labor rate. There is a cell for health and welfare. 2. The purpose is in order to ensure labor costs and Health and Welfare costs, at minimum, comply with the WD/CBA and are included in the CLIN or Task price. 3. The Health and Welfare rates should be unburdened.	Attachment L-14
43	"Per Hour" CLINs in Attachments L-3 & L-14	The Attachment L-3 & L-14 documents include nine CLINs/Tasks that are to be priced at "per hour" rates, based on one work-hour as a composite rate. Since the hours to be awarded are TBD, request that the Government consider removing these from the L-3 & L-14 documents. Otherwise, please clarify the information to be entered in these Attachments. For example: CLIN X107 for Emergent Repairs, if potentially based on a composite of a Tech III & Tech II, would we enter 0.5 hours in the Part Time column with a 100% factor for each of these labor categories under the Emergent Repair Task column?	Appropriate cells/columns/rows will be removed or adjusted as necessary.	Attachment L-3 & L-14 Attachment L-10/L-11 PBW/PEW
44	CDRL's data made available on CD	CDRL's for F/A-18 and EA-18G were provided to include A004, A005, and A008. In the reports provided on the CD, data has been removed from A004, including usage from sites (in particular for NAS Oceana) under "Usage TSE". Data appears to be missing from the 10 of the 12 formatted tabs. Similarly for NAS Whidbey data, Sares Data has only been provided for three (3) of the 12 months of reports.  Also Performance Report Summary (Contractor Personnel) has missing data/no data from all sites. In some cases the form is there and the data is missing. From the CDRL requirement it should be in all reports. In all cases except the three available reports for NAS Whidbey, mentioned above, the unit price data is missing from the Inventory Usage Tab. These CDRL requirements were required for FTSS III as well as indicated as a requirement in draft documents for FTSS IV. CDRL deliverables are routinely provided under "unlimited data rights" in a contract and are therefore not considered company proprietary information. The missing/redacted/eliminated data from the required reports provides a competitive disadvantaged to all offerors except the incumbent with access to the actually delivered reports.  Respectfully request that you make all the reported information under CDRLs A004, A005, and A008 (as submitted to the government) available. Also since these reports have apparently been altered from what has been delivered to the Government for the areas identified above, we would like assurance that the data that has been provided has also not been similarly altered to provide a competitive advantage or an inaccurate situation for a competitor who is preparing their estimates using this data.	Data provided on the CD-ROM distribution is the best, most recent data available. With the exception of personnel staffing and cost data. no data, no other data was removed from the CDRL data provided.	CDRL's A004, A005, A008
45	Appendices COMS AA-1 through AE-18	Drawings have been provided for each of the 5 sites along with Tables for Janitorial Services requirements. The drawings indicate that they are not to scale. Could you provide a square footage by site for these janitorial service requirements?	NAS Oceana - Approximately 85,000 sq. ft. MCAS Beaufort - Approximately 18,000 sq. ft. NAS Whidbey Island - Approximately 30,000 sq. ft. MCAS Miramar - Approximately 49,000 sq. ft. NAS Lemoore - Approximately 61,000 sq. ft.	Appendices COMS AA-1 through AE-18
46	CIS	Are courses listed in ¶4.0 Sub¶ 1 and sub¶ 2 exclusively by taught contractor CIS instructors or are they augmented by U.S. Navy instructors?	The courses listed in section 4.0 sub 1 and sub 2 are taught solely by CIS personnel.	Draft Appendix CIS BC Whidbey Is., Pg 2 ¶4
47	CIS	Please clarify hour discrepancy between ¶5.2 (0600-1930) and table 5-1. This appears to be an escalation in hours without an explanation.	The 0600-1930 reference under section 5.2 of App CIS BC was inaccurate and has been removed. The section has been modified to state "CIS will be scheduled during the Normal window of CI Operations, Monday through Friday as per table 5-1 below."	Draft Appendix CIS BC Whidbey Is., Pg 2 ¶5
48	CIS	Please confirm/clarify if contractor is to conduct an average of 20 2-hour JMPS classes per week and 330 hrs a month for Phase Head duties?	This is an accurate estimate.	Draft Appendix CIS BC Whidbey Is, sub ¶¶ 4.0.1 and 4.0.2
49	CIS	The Miramar COMS CBA Article I 'Recognition' includes Supply Technicians. However, Article XXIII 'Wages' contains no wage rate for a Supply Technician. Please clarify the wage rate that is applicable to this labor category.	The Government confirms that there is no rate listed for Supply Technician in the CBA. When this is the case, the prevailing DOL Wage Determination rate applies.	Miramar COMS CBA Article 1 , Pg. 3
50	CIS	The Revision numbers of SCA Area Wage Determination listings included within the DRFP for all sites except NAS Whidbey, WA, appear to be outdated (Revision dates are 6/19/2013), and reflecting an H&W rate of only \$3.81, with the NAS Whidbey, WA AWD revision dated 7/14/2014 reflecting a higher H&W rate of \$4.02. Request Government provide updated SCA AWD Revisions for all sites within the final RFP.	The final RFP will contain the most current Wage Determinations.	CBA Wage Determination for Area Wage Determination
51	CIS	Please confirm that you will provide updated CBA rates in the RFP and require offerors to bid current updated CBA rates.	The Draft RFP contains the most current CBAs. Offerors should propose rates as instructed in Section L of the RFP.	CBA Wage Determination for Area Wage Determination

**N61340-15-R-0052, FTSS IV**

52	Operations Support	<p>Reference: COMS-AA Para 4.2.1.8.1 states, "At NAS Oceana continuously staffed operational personnel are required for all 2F193A, 2F201 and 2F132B devices during CTT."</p> <p>Reference: COMS-AB, COMS-AC, and COMS-AD Para 4.2.1.8.1.1 states, "Continuously staffed operational personnel shall be trained in, and are required to perform on a not to interfere basis with operational support being provided during the training missions, ..."</p> <p>Reference: COMS-AE Para 4.2.1.8.1 states, "The contractor shall continuously staff operational personnel for all 2F201 and 15E34B-1 devices during scheduled training."</p> <p>Question: The term "continuously" implies that an operator is required to be stationed at an IOS during the entire CTT. Is this the intent of the Government or are operators only required at the IOS when training is scheduled for that particular device?</p>	<p>Operators are not required to be physically present at a device's operator station if training is not being conducted. However, qualified Operators must be available to accommodate walk-in (unscheduled) training during CTT hours.</p>	<p>PWS, Addendum A, Appendix COMS AA, Para 4.2.1.8.1, pg. 16; Appendix COMS AB, Para 4.2.1.8.1.1, pg. 14; Appendix COMS AC, Para 4.2.1.8.1.1, pg. 11; Appendix COMS AD Para 4.2.1.8.1.1, pg 10; Appendix COMS AE, Para 4.2.1.8.1, pg. 9</p>
53	Evaluation Criteria	<p>In light of the differences between the Basic FTSS IV Scope document and the more stringent language in Section L, is the Government applying different evaluation criteria to the instant Task Order awards and the MAC award?</p> <p>RFP, Section L Paragraph 2.2.1 states: Contractor Operation &amp; Maintenance Services (COMS) (Emphasis Area) (Lot 1 and 2)--- For the Lot 1 instant Task Order, scope, complexity, and magnitude should be comparable to the respective instant task order Addendum A (COMS) requirements. The scope of COMS for the F/A-18 instant effort is defined as maintenance and operation of complex flight training devices, to include supply support. The F/A-18 Task Order is based on availability requirements of flight training devices, during an average Contracted Training Time of eight to ten hours per day.</p> <p>a. Complex training devices are defined as those similar to high-fidelity (Level D) full flight simulators. Maintenance on devices that have similar interconnected systems and subsystems such as: (1) Computer System and Peripherals (Host computer), (2) Visual System with Collimated Display, (3) Motion System (Six Degree-of-Freedom), (4) Instructor Operating Station (IOS), (5) Replay/Debrief Station, and (6) Power System, would be considered to meet this emphasis. It is incumbent on the Offeror to communicate in its proposal these characteristics for non-Navy and non-aircraft training devices.</p> <p>While we understand that this list identifies similar components that would be considered to meet the emphasis, it defines what the Government considers relevant to "complex" training devices. Paragraph 2.2.1 goes on to state: "For complexity comparison, the F/A-18 Task Order encompasses maintenance and dedicated operation of complex devices at five sites..." For the Lot 2 instant Task Order, scope, complexity, and magnitude should be comparable to the respective instant task order Addendum A (COMS) requirements. The scope of COMS for the C-9 instant effort is defined as maintenance and operations of flight training devices, to include supply support. The C-9 Task Order is based on availability requirements for one complex flight training device, during an average Contracted Training Time of four (4) hours per day. For complexity comparison, the C-9 Task Order encompasses maintenance and operation of one complex device at one site." From Paragraph 2.2.1, we could infer that the complexity difference between Lot 1 and Lot 2 Task Orders would be relative to number of locations and number of trainers supported (which are considerations), rather than complexity of device supported. Also, according to the trainer descriptions provided with the DRFP, the trainer supported under the C-9 Task Order, having a motion system, is more complex than the trainers supported under the F-18 Task Order (maintenance trainers and trainers without motion systems). In Paragraph 1.2 of the Basic FTSS IV Scope document, COMS requirements are stated as "...necessary to maintain the training systems and equipment in a fully operational condition. COMS support can include..." with no mention of complex flight training devices. In Paragraph 1.3 of the Basic FTSS IV Scope document, CIS requirements are stated as "Contractor Instructional Services (CIS). Requires the Contractor to provide Contract Instructors (CI) in support of simulators, training devices, FST, associated classrooms and/or other training methods to train users in the knowledge and skills to support the mission and the established learning objectives. This service shall include instruction, operation, and curriculum support requirements. This service could also include scenario planning, testing, execution and administration of unit or Fleet level FST events." However, RFP, Section L Paragraph 2.2.2 states that for "Both of the Lot 1 and Lot 2 instant task orders scope, magnitude and complexity should be comparable to the respective instant task order Addendum B (CIS) requirements. The scope of CIS is instruction (military specific) on complex flight training devices. The F/A-18 and C-9 Task Orders also include managing unionized work force/collective bargaining agreements/Service Contract Act employees on CIS contracts." The COMS and CIS requirements specified in the Basic FTSS IV Scope document are more generic than the language in the RFP and apply to COMS and CIS efforts across the entire scope of FTSS IV programs while Section L further specifies COMS and CIS requirements for the instant Task Orders. RFP Section M, Paragraph II. A. states "Areas to be evaluated for corporate experience will include: 1) Contractor Operation and Maintenance Services (COMS) (Emphasis Area), 2) Contractor Instructional Services (CIS) and 3) For Lot 1 only, Corporate Experience with Overseas performance on similar efforts will also be evaluated." Further, there is no mention of "complex flight training devices" in the evaluation criteria.</p>	<p>As stated in the RFP, the Government expects to select more than one Offeror for Lot 1 and Lot 2 on the basis of the Offerors' proposal providing the "best value" to the Government, all factors considered. The Government will use the criteria stated in the RFP to determine MAC awardees.</p> <p>The scope document is intended to be a reference to show the types of work considered in-scope under FTSS IV, but it is not descriptive of the evaluation process.</p> <p>The Government concurs that the complexity difference between requirements assigned to Lot 1 and Lot 2 is driven by elements contained in the FTSS IV scope document (para 2.2).</p> <p>Complex training devices, for the purposes of the evaluation, are defined in the RFP, as noted.</p> <p>The Government required proposal information from Section L of the RFP will be evaluated. The Government will consider whether Section M needs to be revised.</p>	<p>FTSS IV Draft RFP 18 Dec, Section L 2.0 VOLUME 2 Corporate Experience Section, Paragraph 2.2.1 COMS and Paragraph 2.2.2 CIS, bottom of page 82 of 107. AS WELL AS, BC1..SCOPE paragraphs 1.2 and 1.3, page 2</p>

**N61340-15-R-0052, FTSS IV**

54	Evaluation Criteria	In the Basic FTSS IV Scope document (BC1_Scope), paragraph 1.1 states "Contractor Operation and Maintenance Services (COMS) and Contractor Instructional Services (CIS) will form the vast majority of the total FTSS IV TO requirements (estimated to be over 90%), with other elements complementary to the larger COMS or CIS efforts. The Multiple Award Contract (MAC) utilizes a single COMS-centric NAICS code to account for all anticipated TOs. All TOs issued under FTSS IV shall include COMS as a predominant effort, with any other elements such as CIS, device relocations, or any other in-scope requirement to be complementary or ancillary to the COMS effort. The exceptions to this include the four CIS-only TOs issued under the predecessor MAC: CNATRA CIS, CISLANT, DARTS/AAAT CIS and NSST CIS." Question: Can the Government please clarify the relative weighting associated with COMS and CIS evaluation criteria in Section M of the RFP.	For the Corporate Experience and the Past Performance evaluation areas, COMS is the "Emphasis Area" for both Lot 1 and Lot 2. No numerical or other relative weighting is, has, or will be established for evaluation.	FTSS IV Draft RFP 18 Dec, Section M And Att BC 1 FTSS IV SCOPE FINAL - 03 Dec, Paragraph 1.1 Scope of Requirements, page 1
55	Annual Licenses/Maintenance Agreements	Are there any software packages within the training system and associated equipment that require annual license fees or maintenance agreements? If so, would the Government please identify the software and the annual expense?	Yes. Any such training system software licenses will be provided by the Government at mobilization at no cost to the contractor.	DRAFT Apndx COMS-01 2F223_C-9B_OFT (entire document)
56	Windows XP	Many of the systems identified run on XP Pro or Home with SP2 or SP3. Since XP is no longer supported by the OEM, is there any plan to upgrade to Windows 7 or later?	There are currently no identified plans to upgrade device 2F223.	DRAFT Apndx COMS-01 2F223_C-9B_OFT (entire document)
57	UPS information	Would the Government provide the UPS make, model and the last time the battery power pack was changed?	Appendix COMS-01 has been revised to include UPS data.	DRAFT Apndx COMS-01 2F223_C-9B_OFT, paragraphs 1.1.6 Backup Power Source page 2 and 1.1.11 System Electronics page 3
58	USMC Training Device	Appendix COMS-01 paragraph 1.1.9 identifies the 2F223 as the "Marine Corps C-9B OFT." Is this a USMC Training Device?	Yes	DRAFT Apndx COMS-01 2F223_C-9B_OFT , paragraph 1.1.9 Control Loading/Motion System, page 2
59	Shipping	C-9B Addendum A, paragraph 4.2.2.4 states "The Contractor shall package/transport/ship failed aircraft common equipment to the depot supply point designated by the COR." Is this shipping at Contractor Expense? If so, would the Government identify the number of shipments, shipped to locations, cubic feet and weight of each shipment for the last 2 years?	The depot supply point for the C-9B aircraft is King Aerospace in Addison, TX. Aircraft instrumentation, and avionics components are typically shipped in boxes which can be hand carried. The Government has no historical data regarding weights/dimensions or costs associated with these shipments as this is a Contractor responsibility.	DRAFT_C-9B_Addendum_A_COMS REV 2, paragraph 4.2.2.4 Aircraft Common Equipment, Page 11
60	ATO	Does the current 2F223 have an Authority to Operate (ATO)?	Authority To Operate (ATO) is an obsolete term. This has been replaced by "Platform Information Technology (PIT) Risk Accreditation (PRA)." Currently there is no plan for accrediting the 2F223.	DRAFT_C-9B_Addendum_A_COMS REV 2, paragraph 4.2.2.7 Information Assurance (IA) pages 11, 12 and 13
61	Report Submission CDRLS	C-9B Addendum A paragraph 4.6 identifies a myriad of reports but identifies no CDRL to provide these reports. Would the Government provide the appropriate CDRL for submission?	Those reports, in paragraph 4.6, which do not have a CDRL associated with them, are informal reports used to facilitate routine communication between the Site Manager and COR. There are no CDRLs associated with these reports.	DRAFT_C-9B_Addendum_A_REV 2, paragraph 4.6.1 Validation, page 12
62	E-2 & E-2D Typos?	Appendix CIS-BA ALTC Denver C-9B Requirements paragraph 7.1 states E-2 and E-2D CTIP/TCO. Should this be C-9B? Is CTIP/TCO the appropriate nomenclature?	Appendix CIS has been revised to remove all references to the E-2 platform.	DRAFT C-9B_APP_CIS_Site_Specific, paragraph 7.1 Site Manager, page 2
63	CI breakdown	Appendix CIS-BA ALTC Denver C-9B Requirements paragraph 8.1 states "Normal daily course loading will be no more than a combination of three types of courses scheduled at one (1) time" and CTT table indicates CI Hours Per Day of 32 (4) /40 (5) /48 (6) /64 (8). Will the Government provide a breakdown of the 5/6/7/8 CIs required by qualification (Pilot or Crew Chief)?	Appendix CIS-BA has been revised to illustrate the breakout of MAX CIP and CIN qualifications for each stepladder.	DRAFT C-9B_APP_CIS_Site_Specific, paragraph 8.1 CIS Schedule, page 2
64	Crew Chief CI	Appendix CIS-BA ALTC Denver C-9B Requirements identifies Crew Chief as a CI. Is the Crew Chief CI considered a "rated" position by the Navy?	Yes, the Crew Chief is considered a "Rated" position.	DRAFT C-9B_APP_CIS_Site_Specific, paragraph 8.1 CIS Schedule #s 1, 3, 4, pages 2 and 3
65	CBA	Would the Government confirm that there is no CBA in place for the C-9B contract (CIS or COMS)?	There is currently no CBA in place at the ALTC Denver location.	FTSS IV Draft RFP 18 Dec, Section G Contract Administration Data, paragraph G.1 Premium Time - Contractor Operation and Maintenance Services (COMS), 18 of 107
66	SCA Price Adjustment Worksheet	Would the Government please confirm that those rows with broken/invalid cell references should be/ are meant to be deleted?	The Government will ensure there are no broken/invalid cell references in the version provided with the final RFP.	Att BC 7_SCA Price Adjustment Form (entire document)

**N61340-15-R-0052, FTSS IV**

67	Monthly Training Plan	Paragraph 4.2.1.6 states that "The Government will provide the Contractor a Monthly Training Plan on or before the 25th of each month preceding the month for which the plan applies." Will the Government Please provide the Monthly Training Plans for the last year?	Offerors should assume CI requirements will be 100% of the OHPD/OHPW/OHPM window.  Historical training plans are not available for release.	DRAFT F-18 Addendum A_COMS REV 2, paragraph 4.2.1.6 Monthly Planning, page 8
68	Daily Training Mission Schedule	Paragraph 4.2.1.7 states that "The Government will provide a Daily Training Schedule on the last Government working day prior to the first day of the period covered by the schedule." Would the Government please provide these schedules for a similar time period?	Offerors should assume CI requirements will be 100% of the OHPD/OHPW/OHPM window.  Historical schedules are not available for release.	DRAFT F-18 Addendum A_COMS REV 2, paragraph 4.2.1.7 Daily Training Mission Schedule, page 8
69	GSU Schedule	Would the Government please provide an example of a typical Government Scheduled Utilization (GSU) Schedule?	Government Scheduled Usage information can be derived from the Contractor Performance Summary Reports provided to the Offerors at the Pre-Solicitation Conference. Offerors not in attendance can request a copy (robbie.haygood@navy.mil).	DRAFT F-18 Addendum A_COMS REV 2, paragraph 4.2.1.7.1 Government Scheduled Utilization (GSU), page 8
70	Request for support during CTT	DRAFT F-18 Addendum A_COMS, paragraph 4.2.1.8.a states that "Contractor personnel shall respond within five (5) minutes of instructors/users request for support or assistance during CTT, make-up and additional training periods." Can the Government please verify for which devices this requirement is applicable to?	Any devices listed as "COMS" vice "CMS" in the Site Specific Appendices are subject to the 5 minute response time.	DRAFT F-18 Addendum A_COMS REV 2, paragraph 4.2.1.7.8 Contractor Training Device Operations Responsibilities, section a., page 8
71	Operations Personnel	4.2.1.8.1 states that "The Contractor shall provide continuously staffed operations personnel...." Can the Government please provide a definition of "Operations Personnel"?	Operations Personnel is defined in the Site Specific Appendices, Section 4.8.	DRAFT F-18 Addendum A_COMS REV 2, paragraph 4.2.1.8.1 Continuously Staffed Device Operations, page 9
72	Operations Personnel	Relating to question 71 (18), from the continuous availability of "operations personnel", are we correct in assuming that console operators are required to be available to support walk in student requirements?	Yes, refer to question 52.	DRAFT F-18 Addendum A_COMS REV 2, paragraph 4.2.1.8.4 Walk-In Students, page 9
73	GFE Calibration	F-18 Addendum A, Paragraph 4.3.1.1 states that "The Contractor shall be responsible for compliance with applicable calibration cycles and best commercial standards..." Will the Government please clarify if the financial responsibilities associated with the calibration of Government Furnished Equipment falls to the Contractor or the Government	Financial responsibility for calibration of all Test Equipment, regardless of ownership, will fall to the Contractor. Per PWS Paragraph 4.3, "The Contractor shall maintain the MSP."	DRAFT F-18 Addendum A_COMS REV 2, paragraph 4.3.1.1 Government Furnished, page 15
74	Daily Network Test for Trainers	Paragraph 4.2.1.8 letter c. states that "The Contractor shall network test all trainers daily prior to the start of training in order to verify that they are capable of networking with each other." Is there an established function similar to the Daily Operational Readiness Test (DORT) that tests this capability?	Yes, <i>although not all "Network Testing" functionality is included in the Daily Readiness Tests (DRED or DORT) for each training device.</i>	DRAFT F-18 Addendum A_COMS REV 2, paragraph 4.2.1.8.c Contractor Training Device Operations Responsibilities, page 9
75	SCRM Requirement	Is there a contract requirement for Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM)?	Historical data was provided as part of the CD-ROM distribution provided to each contractor in attendance at the Pre-Solicitation Meeting on January 8th in Denver. Offerors not in attendance can request a copy of the CD provided (robert.haygood@navy.mil)	DRAFT F-18 Addendum A_COMS REV 2 (entire document)
76	SCRM Requirement	Relating to Question 22, Paragraph 4.4.1 of F-18 Addendum A Coms specifies the Contractor's responsibilities to replenish and replace spares and other consumables. It also describes the contractor reporting requirements for actions and the part information required to be maintained and submitted to the Government. Is this a reference to a potential SCRM requirement?	No, there is no requirement for SCRM as the Contractor will be assuming full supply support responsibility at Contract Support Date CSD).	DRAFT F-18 Addendum A_COMS REV 2, paragraph 4.4.1 Replenishment/Replacement, pages 16 and 17
77	SCRM Requirement	Relating to Question 22, Letter C. of Paragraph 4.11 of F-18 Addendum A Coms states that "All parts shall be purchased as new. If no source can be found for purchasing new parts, the Contractor shall assess and recommend to the Government the purchase of the next generation of the identified part, or otherwise suitable form/fit/function replacement." Is this another reference to a potential SCRM contractual requirement?	No, there is no requirement for SCRM as the Contractor will be assuming full supply support responsibility at Contract Support Date CSD).	DRAFT F-18 Addendum A_COMS REV 2, paragraph 4.11.c Provisioning, pages 25 and 26
78	Proposal Page Numbering	Paragraph states "All pages should be numbered with volume, section, paragraph, and page numbers." Would the Government please clarify if the page number is to include all of this information or if each page should include this information in the header.	The information required can be included in the header.	FTSS IV Draft RFP 18 Dec, Section L, Part A General Instructions, paragraph 2.0 Proposal Format and Submission, page 69 of 107

**N61340-15-R-0052, FTSS IV**

79	Proposal Packaging	Please clarify: 1. Does the Master Set include both the Master and Backup CDs? 2. Is there to be another carton within the inside carton marked for NAWCTSD PCO, Air-2.5.3.2.1? 3. Are there any marking instructions for the remaining volumes?	1. Yes 2. No 3. Denote the Volume, Volume number, and copy number as shown in Table G.1.	FTSS IV Draft RFP 18 Dec, Section L, Part A General Instructions, paragraph 4.2 Unclassified Proposal Packaging, page 75 of 107
80	General	What is the minimum number of COMS personnel required to be present during a simulator mission to safely operate the 2F223?	Please refer to PWS paragraphs 4.2.1.8.1 and 4.2.2.8, otherwise, the PWS is a performance based effort, and there is no minimum manning requirement established by the Government.	
81	CDT	What is the historical Chargeable Downtime (CDT) of the trainer?	Historical data was provided as part of the CD-ROM distribution provided to each contractor in attendance at the Pre-Solicitation Meeting on January 8th in Denver. Offerors not in attendance can request a copy of the CD provided (robert.haygood@navy.mil).	
82	NCDT	What is the historical Non-Chargeable Downtime (NCDT) of the trainer?	Historical data was provided as part of the CD-ROM distribution provided to each contractor in attendance at the Pre-Solicitation Meeting on January 8th in Denver. Offerors not in attendance can request a copy of the CD provided (robert.haygood@navy.mil).	
83	Maintenance Records	Request a copy of trainer maintenance records under the current contract.	Trainer maintenance data was made available for review by all contractors in attendance at the Pre-Solicitation Meeting/Site Review on January 8th in Denver.	
84	Material Costs	How many repair items have exceeded \$25,000 under the current contract?	None	
85	High Cost Items	Are there any scheduled maintenance actions that may exceed \$25,000 (such as hydraulic component refurbishment/replacement or UPS battery replacement)?	The Government knows of no scheduled maintenance action which would exceed the \$25K limitation of liability.	
86	UPS Batteries	When are the UPS batteries due for replacement?	Appendix COMS-AA has been revised to include the UPS Mfr, Device/System Supported and date of last battery replacement.	
87	Incumbent's Transition Period Wording	What is the wording in the incumbent's current contract describing their responsibilities during their transition phase (which will correlate to our mobilization phase)?	"Contractors that are not successful in obtaining a subsequent Government contract shall provide all reasonable support to the succeeding Contractor to ensure orderly transition and minimize impact on operational readiness of the trainers. As a minimum, the incumbent shall provide the succeeding Contractor access to the site, trainers, materials, and technical documentation and publications. In addition, as an OJT function throughout the Transition Phase, the incumbent shall allow the succeeding Contractor to observe (over-the-shoulder) the performance of all required maintenance and support tasks on a not-to-interfere with training and maintenance basis."  The transition phase for this SOW is sixty (60) days.	
88	MAF Control/Reporting	What system is used on-site to generate and track maintenance actions (MAFs)? Will that system be provided as GFE?	The Maintenance Management Information System is a Contractor owned tooland will not be GFE. Only the MAF Data and logbooks will convey. Any Personnel data from prior contractor will be removed.	
89	Duplicate Paragraph Wording	COMS Appendix COMS-01 paragraphs 1.1.7 and 1.1.8 are identical. Please clarify.	These errors will be corrected in the Final RFP.	COMS Appendix COMS-01 paragraphs 1.1.7 and 1.1.8
90	CMS Device	Ref COMS PWS 4.2.1.2 - This paragraph refers to Training Systems supported as CMS devices. It is our understanding that Device 2F223 is being supported as a COMS device and not a CMS device. Does paragraph 4.2.1.2 apply, and if so, how?	Addendum A, COMS PWS is intended to be a generic Performance Work Statement for use across the COMS spectrum. Because there are no CMS supported devices identified in the Device, or Site specific Appendices, in the C-9B PWS, paragraph 4.2.1.2 would only apply when/if a CMS supported device is added to the scope.	COMS PWS 4.2.1.2
91	Definition Applicable to Device 2F223	How does the government define CMS and MSH with respect to Device 2F223?	CMS and MSH do not apply to the C-9B Task Order.	
92	Daily IA Support	Ref COMS PWS 4.8.1.c - Are there any requirements for the key person IA Technician to be on-site beyond a single daily 8-hour shift?	No.	COMS PWS 4.8.1.c
93	Forecast Status of Device 2F223	Are there any plans in place that may affect the scope of work for this C-9B COMS/CIS support task order before July 2021? If so, what are they and what is the expected effect on the scope of work?	There are currently no identified plans to alter the scope of work for the C-9B Task Order.	
94	Lot 1 CIS References in Lot 2 CIS	"DRAFT_C-9B_Addendum_B_CIS REV 2.docx" and "DRAFT C-9B_APP_CIS_Site_Specific.docx" (inferred by document titles as site specific to ALTC Denver and the C-9B) appear to have Lot 1 CIS references. Examples include reference to security clearances, E-2D CTIP/TCO, flight line, Weapons Tactics Instructors (WTI), and AW and EW instructors. Please clarify.	These errors will be corrected in the Final RFP.	DRAFT_C-9B_Addendum_B_CIS REV 2.docx and DRAFT C-9B_APP_CIS_Site_Specific.docx
95	E-2 References	Appendix CIS-BA paragraph 7.1 refers to support for E-2. Please clarify.	These errors will be corrected in the Final RFP.	Appendix CIS-BA paragraph 7.1

**N61340-15-R-0052, FTSS IV**

96	NMCI Equipment	Are any of the contract-accessed computers Navy-Marine Corps Intranet (NMCI) systems? If so, what support by the contractor will be required under this task order?	There are no NMCI seats at the ALTC Denver location.	
97	Site Manager Quals Clarification	Appendix CIS-BA paragraph 7.1 implies that the Site Manager must be an aircraft qualified individual. However, Appendix COMS-AA paragraph 4.8.3.1.2 states that a COMS individual can be the Site Manager. Please clarify.	These errors will be corrected in the Final RFP.	Appendix CIS-BA paragraph 7.1 and COMS-AA paragraph 4.8.3.1.2
98	CI Qualifications Timeline	DRAFT_C-9B_Addendum_B_CIS REV 2.docx, paragraph 4.4.1.1 lists the Government provided training (as necessary and applicable). In order to develop a training track/plan (paragraph 4.4.1) during the Mobilization period, request length of each training item listed in paragraph 4.4.1.1 and how long initial testing (open book, closed book, and oral) will take.	Training for prospective Contract Instructors (CIs) will be based on the prospective CI's currency and experience.	DRAFT_C-9B_Addendum_B_CIS REV 2.docx, paragraph 4.4.1.1
99	QSP and QCP	Since the Lot 2 SBSA C-9B task order is a single site, is a Quality System Plan (QSP) and a Quality Control Plan (QCP) both required?	Yes.	